

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,543	11/26/2003	John R. Wootton	2/1206US	9738
	7590 08/10/200 & FINGERSH, LC	•	EXAMINER	
ATTN: BOX II 500 NORTH B			RIDLEY, BA	ASIA ANNA
SUITE 2000	KOADWA I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS, MO	0 63102		1764	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/723,543	WOOTTON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Basia Ridley	1764			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	•				
Period fo			·			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	May 2007.				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	•			
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-28</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>18-28</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
ا_(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	i/or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	·			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ad	· ·				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a))					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume		·			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	* *	received in this National Stage			
*	application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li		received			
	occurre attached detailed Office action for a in	of the confined copies flot i				
A44. •						
Attachmer	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Thionism C	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Noti	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s))/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 20040102, 20040913.	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/723,543 Page 2

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention II, claims 18-26 in the reply filed on 23 May 2007 is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

- 2. The information disclosure statement filed on 2 January 2004 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.98(b) for the reasons set forth below. It has been placed in the application file, but the following document(s) referred to therein has/have not been considered as to the merits:
- "Fuel Reforming" as it is not identified by publisher, author (if any), relevant pages of the publication, date, and place of publication;
- "Methods of Converting/Extracting Hydrogen" as it is not identified by publisher, author (if any), relevant pages of the publication, date, and place of publication;
- "What is a Fuel Cell" as it is not identified by publisher, author (if any), relevant pages of the publication, date, and place of publication;
- "Supercritical Oxidation of Toxic Military Materials: Current Status" as it is not identified by publisher, relevant pages of the publication, date, and place of publication;
- "Supercritical Water Oxidation State-Of-The-Art Environmental Technology" as it is not identified by publisher, author (if any), relevant pages of the publication, date, and place of publication.
- 3. The information disclosure statement filed 2 January 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent

Application/Control Number: 10/723,543.

Art Unit: 1764

literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the following document(s) referred to therein has/have not been considered as to the merits:

- "Methods of Converting/Extracting Hydrogen";
- "What is a Fuel Cell".
- 4. The following documents, 5,386,055 and 5,516,952, cited in the information disclosure statement filed on 2 January 2004 have been already submitted and considered as part of the information disclosure statement filed on 13 September 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hokari et al. (US 2003/0168381) in view of Wright et al. (USP 5,141,823).

Regarding claims 18-28 Hokari et al. discloses a system comprising hydrocarbon and water feeds; a supercritical water (SCW) reactor; further including an oxygen feed into the SCW reactor; further comprising a sensor' and control system for monitoring at least one of said syntheses gas and said output gas and adjusting said feeds based on said sensing (see for example [0005]-[0018] and [0031]).

While the reference teaches that the produced combustible gas can be used for energy generation, it does not disclose another means of using said combustible gas for energy

Application/Control Number: 10/723,543

Art Unit: 1764

generation, namely using it in a fuel cell. Since to use combustible gas resulting from hydrocarbon reforming in a fuel cell was well known in the art at the time of the invention, as evidenced by Wright et al. (see for example abstract), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use said generated combustible gas of Hokari et al. in the fuel cell of Wright et al., as doing so would have amount to nothing more than to use a known material for its intended use, in a known environment to accomplish an entirely expected result. Further examiner notes that an apparatus is not patentable where it is an obvious combination of two known elements, wherein each element lends to end products the desirable properties that each is known to produce when used alone and there exists no evidence of co-action between the elements that produces unexpected results. See *In re Fortess and Schoeneberg*, 152 USPQ 13 (CCPA 1966).

Wright additionally discloses that to use combustible gas in a fuel cell, the system needs to include a water-gas shift reactor (C5/L62-68 and C7/L50-65) and a capturing system to temporarily store that hydrogen gas before supplying it to the fuel cell (C1/L54-C2/L5).

Regarding limitations recited in claims 18-28 which are directed to a manner of operating disclosed system, neither the manner of operating a disclosed device nor material or article worked upon further limit an apparatus claim. Said limitations do not differentiate apparatus claims from prior art. See MPEP § 2114 and 2115. Further, process limitations do not have patentable weight in an apparatus claim. See Ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969) that states "Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof and to an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim."

Application/Control Number: 10/723,543

Page 5

Art Unit: 1764

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Conclusion

- 7. In view of the foregoing, none of the claims are allowed.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Basia Ridley, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1453.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola, can be reached on (571) 272-1444.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Technical Center 1700 General Information Telephone No. is (571) 272-1700. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Questions on access to the Private PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Basia Ridley

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1764

BR

August 6, 2007